Mechanisms of stent thrombosis analysed by optical coherence tomography: insights from the national PESTO French registry
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Aims
Angiography has limited value for identifying the causes of stent thrombosis (ST). We studied a large cohort of patients by optical coherence tomography (OCT) to explore ST characteristics and mechanisms.

Methods and results
A prospective multicentre registry was screened for patients with confirmed ST. Optical coherence tomography was performed after initial intervention to the culprit lesion (in 69% of cases in a deferred procedure). Stent thrombosis was classified as acute (AST), sub-acute (SAST), late (LST), and very late (VLST). Optical coherence tomography records were analysed in a central core lab. The analysis included 120 subjects aged 61.7 [51.4–70.7]; 89% male. Very late ST was the clinical presentation in 75%, LST in 6%, SAST in 15%, and AST in 4% of patients. Bare metal stents (BMS) were used in 39%, drug-eluting stents (DES) in 59% and bioresorbable vascular scaffolds in 2% of the cases. Optical coherence tomography identified an underlying morphological abnormality in 97% of cases, including struts malapposition (34%), neoatherosclerotic lesions (22%), major stent underexpansion (11%), coronary evagination (8%), isolated uncovered struts (8%), edge-related disease progression (8%), and neointimal hyperplasia (4%). Ruptured neoatherosclerotic lesions were more frequent with BMS than with DES (36 vs. 14%, \( P = 0.005 \)), whereas coronary evaginations were more frequent with DES than with BMS (12 vs. 2%, \( P = 0.04 \)). LST + VLST were mainly related to malapposition (31%) and neotherosclerosis (28%), while prominent mechanisms for AST + SAST were malapposition (48%) and underexpansion (26%).

Conclusion
In patients with confirmed ST, OCT imaging identified an underlying morphological abnormality in 97% of cases.
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Clinical summary
Angiography is of limited use in identifying the underlying mechanisms of stent thrombosis. Optical coherence tomography could help to find mechanical abnormalities and may help guide management of patients.
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Introduction

Despite improvements in device design and anti-platelet therapy (APT) over the past decades, stent thrombosis (ST) remains a persistent complication of percutaneous coronary interventions (PCIs). Although recent data indicate that the overall incidence rate may be no more than 1% per year (and even lower in case of very late ST), the potential consequences can be devastating, including high mortality.\(^2,3\)

The pathophysiology of ST is not fully understood.\(^3\) A number of factors may trigger ST including stent design, comorbidities such as diabetes, patients’ compliance with APT, high on treatment platelet reactivity, and lesion morphology.\(^2\)

The mechanisms promoting ST vary with increasing time from PCI. In recent years, the use of intra-vascular imaging has revealed additional causes of ST: whereas undereexpansion and malapposition appear to be leading causes of acute ST (AST), and sub-acute ST (SAST),\(^4\) neoatherosclerosis has been shown to be a major contributor to very late ST (VLST).\(^5,6\) The exact role of uncovered struts in ST has not been completely elucidated but uncovered stent struts have been shown to be independently associated with late drug-eluting stent (DES) thrombosis.\(^7\) Moreover, malapposition seems to be more frequent after DES implantation than after Bare metal stents (BMS) due to expansive vascular remodelling\(^6\) and might also trigger ST.\(^7\)

Coronary angiography is often of limited value in the identification of different mechanisms underlying ST and as a guide to adequate therapy. Recently, optical coherence tomography (OCT) has been noted as a potentially important imaging technique to assess mechanisms of stent failure.\(^10\) Optical coherence tomography offers high resolution (10–15 \mu m) as well as in vivo coronary plaque morphology visualization. However, this method has not been used in large-scale multicentre prospective studies.

The PESTO (Morphological Parameters Explaining Stent Thrombosis assessed by OCT) registry was set up to investigate the usefulness of OCT in assessing characteristics, mechanisms, and relative incidence of ST among a large cohort of patients.

Methods

Patients

The PESTO multicentre prospective observational registry included patients from 29 French catheterization facilities from January 2013 to October 2014. Patients who were referred with acute coronary syndromes (ACS) were prospectively screened for the presence of confirmed ST. The definite diagnosis of ST was made after the angiogram in accordance with the Academic Research Consortium ST definitions\(^10\) and classified as AST, SAST, LST, and VLST, using standard definitions.\(^11\)

Patients’ baseline characteristics including medical history, clinical, biological, and angiographic parameters and recent modification of APT were prospectively collected in a predefined standardized case report form. As a part of the protocol, the PESTO local investigators reported and classified the ST suspected mechanism into three categories (‘unidentified’, ‘probably identified’, and ‘completely identified’) before (i.e. based on angiography data alone) and after OCT imaging.

The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki. The Gabriel Montpied University Hospital’s Ethics Committee approved the research protocol and informed consent was obtained from each patient before inclusion.

Acute coronary syndrome management

All patients included in the study were routinely treated with oral anti-platelet therapy including aspirin and clopidogrel, or prasugrel or ticagrelor. Parenteral anti-thrombotic therapy includes abciximab, unfractionated, or low-weight heparin in accordance with the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines for management of patients with STEMI and NSTEMI.\(^12\)

Percutaneous coronary intervention was performed with a 6 Fr guiding catheter in all patients. The decision whether to use a thrombectomy device and the options for ST management (culprit lesion deobstruction, balloon dilation alone, redo stenting, or medical treatment with anticoagulant therapy) were at the discretion of the local operators. The timing for OCT imaging (ad hoc or deferred analysis) was not pre-specified by the study protocol and was chosen by the local investigators according to their experience and patients’ clinical and angiography characteristics.

Percutaneous coronary intervention and frequency domain-optical coherence tomography images acquisition

Frequency domain-OCT (FD-OCT) images were acquired using a commercially available system (C7 System; LightLab Imaging Inc/St Jude Medical, Westford, MA) in all facilities as previously described.\(^6\) Frequency domain-OCT imaging was performed immediately following restoration of thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) 3 flow or during deferred control angiography.\(^13\)

Frequency domain-optical coherence tomography image analysis

Offline analysis was performed with proprietary software (Lightlab Imaging Inc/St Jude Medical) after confirming calibration settings of the Z-offset. All images were recorded digitally, stored and read by three independent investigators (G.S., N.A., P.M./blinded to patients’ clinical, ST type and angiographic baseline characteristics) in a centralized core laboratory. Discordances around the leading cause of ST were resolved by consensus. The aetiologies of mechanical ST were classified as: major stent malapposition, severe stent underexpansion, in-stent ruptured neoatherosclerosis, coronary evaginations, isolated uncovered struts without associated abnormality, edge dissection, edge-related disease progression, and intra-stent neointimal hyperplasia with adherent thrombus (Figure 1).

The region of interest, including the stented as well as the surrounding normal coronary artery segments, was analysed systematically at 1 mm intervals. The outlines of stent and lumen were drawn for area measurements. In the presence of thrombus with low attenuation, the visible lumen contour could still be drawn behind the thrombus. In the presence of thrombus with high attenuation, the lumen contour was allowed to be extrapolated behind the thrombus when the lumen contour was visible in \(>3\) quadrants. The stent area (SA) was measured by joining the middle points of the endoluminal signal rich strut surface of the stent. In case the stent was covered by thrombus with high signal attenuation, the SA was delineated only if at least one strut was clearly visible in every quadrant. Stent area and intra-stent lumen area (intra-stent area/ISA) were measured for each interval within the stent. Proximal and distal references lumen areas were also determined. The most ‘normal-appearing’ segments 5 mm proximal and distal to the lesion shoulders identified by OCT were used as references.

Thrombi were defined as masses attached of the vessel wall or stent and protruding into the vessel lumen, and were characterized according to previous reports.\(^14\) White thrombus was identified as signal rich, low-backscattering mass. Red thrombus was identified as high-backscattering...
protrusions inside the lumen of the artery, with signal-free shadowing in the OCT. The thrombus score was graded, according to the method proposed by the ESC OCT expert review document, based on semi-quantitative assessment of thrombus (number of involved quadrants in the cross-sectional OCT images) and the longitudinal extension of the thrombus itself. By applying this method in each cross-section, a thrombus was classified as absent or subtending 1, 2, 3, or 4 quadrants. The global OCT-thrombus score was then calculated as the sum of each cross-sectional score.

Neointimal thickness (per strut) and area (per cross-sectional area) were calculated as previously reported. An uncovered strut was defined as a strut of measured neointimal thickness equal to 0 μm. In the presence of a highly attenuating layer of thrombus, struts were categorized as not analysable for coverage. A malapposed strut was defined as a strut with a measured distance between its surface and the adjacent vessel surface greater than the strut thickness for BMS or greater than the sum of the thickness of the strut plus polyim for DES. The malapposition was considered significant if the stent lumen distance was >200 μm.

A coronary evagination was defined as the presence of an outward bulge in the luminal vessel contour between apposed struts with a maximum depth of the bulge exceeding that of the actual strut thickness. Intimal vessels (or micro-channels) were defined as sharply delineated poor signal voids that could be followed in multiple contiguous frames. Neoatheroma was defined as the combination of neointimal diffuse thickening with atherosclerotic plaque architectural features including lipid-laden intima, presence of a fibrous cap, neovessels and potential neointimal rupture. Neointimal rupture referred to a break in the fibrous cap connecting the lumen with the underlying lipid pool. Severe stent underexpansion was defined as intra-stent minimal area ≤70% of the average reference lumen area or ≤80% of the lumen area of the reference segment with the lowest lumen area. Edge-related disease progression was defined as the presence of a ruptured necrotic core plaque at the proximal edge of the stent in an incompletely covered lesion.

Endpoints definition
The primary endpoint was the presence of an underlying mechanical abnormality (malapposition, severe underexpansion, ruptured neatherosclerotic lesion, coronary evagination, edge-related disease progression, neointimal hyperplasia with adherent thrombus, persistent edge dissection, or isolated uncovered struts without presence of any of the preceding abnormalities) on OCT analysis in patients with definite ST. The secondary endpoint was the incidence of major adverse cardiovascular events (including cardiovascular death, non-fatal stroke, non-fatal myocardial infarction, and the need for urgent target vessel revascularization) during 180 days after hospital discharge following ST. Follow-up was by clinic visits and/or by telephone contact.

Statistical analysis
The statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 21.0 software (SPSS software, Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables are expressed as median and interquartile ranges and the normality of their distributions was assessed by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The inter-observer (analyst #1 vs. analyst #2; analyst #1 vs. analyst #3; analyst #2 vs. analyst #3) and intra-observer reproducibility was evaluated by the kappa coefficient with calculation of the 95% confidence interval and tested vs. the null hypothesis. The differences between ST mechanical underlying abnormalities and OCT quantitative parameters were compared using χ² or Fisher exact tests (for categorical variables) and Student’s t or U Mann–Whitney tests (for continuous variables), as appropriate. Incidence of the secondary endpoint and of cardiovascular death during follow-up was evaluated according to the Kaplan–Meier method. A two-sided α level of 0.05 was used for all superiority testing.
Results

Baseline characteristics

A total of 229 patients in 17 active centres were treated for ST during the inclusion period. A hundred and thirty-four patients were screened and of these, 123 provided informed consent (Figure 1). Three patients (2.4%) were excluded from the analysis because of inadequate OCT image quality (Figure 1). The baseline characteristics of the 120 patients included in the final analysis are given in Table 1. Most patients presented with VLST. The average time between index PCI and ST was 4.4 ± 0.4 years. Most devices were DES in 59% and BMS in 39%. Bioresorbable vascular scaffold (BVS)
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Table 2 Stent thrombosis underlying mechanisms according to clinical presentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All patients (n = 120)</th>
<th>AST (n = 5)</th>
<th>SAST (n = 18)</th>
<th>LST (n = 7)</th>
<th>VLST (n = 90)</th>
<th>A + SAST (n = 23)</th>
<th>L + VLST (n = 97)</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malapposition (%)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruptured NA (%)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe underexpansion (%)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary evaginations (%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER disease progression (%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated uncovered struts (%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH with thrombus (%)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge dissection (%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No cause identified (%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AST, acute stent thrombosis; ER, edge related; LST, late stent thrombosis; NA, neatherosclerosis; NIH, neointimal hyperplasia; SAST, sub-acute stent thrombosis; VLST, very late stent thrombosis. *P*-value is for comparison A + SAST vs. L + VLST.

thrombosis was determined in two patients. First-generation DES were implicated in 34 subjects, second-generation DES in 35 subjects, and third-generation DES in two subjects. Patients with DES-related ST had a significantly higher incidence of hypertension, shorter time from index PCI to ST, longer and smaller devices and a more frequent left anterior descending artery location than patients with BMS. Single or dual APT was used by 87% of patients (no mechanical cause identified) were related to APT interruption (abnormality was identified in 96.7% of patients. The remaining four

The causes and underlying mechanisms of ST varied according to stent type (Tables 3 and 4). Coronary evaginations were more frequently observed in DES than in BMS, whereas the incidence of ruptured neatherosclerosis lesions was higher in BMS than in DES. The delay between index PCI and ST was longer in the BMS neatherosclerosis group than in the DES one (10.6 [8.8–14.8] vs. 5.3 [2.4–7.0] years, P = 0.001). However, malapposition remained the main observed abnormality, regardless of the type of stent. The two cases of acute/ sub-acute BVS thrombosis were related to severe underexpansion.

Stent thrombosis management and clinical follow-up

Before OCT, the mechanism of ST was considered as ‘completely identified’ in 12% of patients by local operators; this increased to 41% after OCT. Mechanisms were ‘probably identified’ in 40% before and 46% after OCT. The percentage of patients with ‘unidentified’ mechanisms was reduced from 48% without OCT to 13% with OCT. These differences were statistically significant (P < 0.001).

Balloon angioplasty alone in 37%, repeat stenting in 31% and further additional thromboaspiration in 2% of the cases. The left ventricular ejection fraction at discharge was 55% [45–60].

Follow-up data were obtained in 122 (99%) patients. The rates of survival and freedom from MACE at 6 months were 97.4% [95% CI: 95.9–98.9%] and 91.5% [95% CI: 88.9–94.1%], respectively. During this period, a total of 12 adverse events were recorded (none during the in-hospital phase): three subjects died, eight underwent urgent target vessel revascularization (including three recurrent ST), and one subject experienced a transient ischaemic attack.

Discussion

The PESTO multicentre national registry was designed to evaluate the value of OCT techniques to improve the understanding of the clinical presentation and mechanisms underlying ST.20 The present work represents the largest attempt to date to explore the aetiology of this rare clinical event by OCT techniques.

Optical coherence tomography analysis of mechanisms underlying stent thrombosis

A total of 26 166 struts were analysed. Due to highly attenuating thrombus, 1235 struts in 120 patients could not be analysed. The intra- and inter-observer reproducibility for assessing the leading cause of ST was high for all reviewers (kappa coefficient ≥ 0.8, P < 0.001). The primary endpoint (underlying morphological abnormality) was identified in 96.7% of patients. The remaining four cases (no mechanical cause identified) were related to APT interruption during the first month following stent implantation. A unique abnormality was found in 77.5% and multiple abnormalities in 22.5% of the cases. Physicians’ interpretations of OCT data correlated with those of the core lab in 84 of 120 cases (70%): these discrepancies suggest individual differences in OCT interpretation experience.

Struts malapposition was the most frequently observed abnormality and was highly prevalent throughout all ST types (Table 2). Ruptured neatherosclerosis was significantly more frequently observed in LST + VLST patients, whereas severe underexpansion was more common in subjects with AST + SAST (Figure 2).
Table 3  Stent thrombosis underlying mechanisms according to stent type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All patients (n = 120)</th>
<th>BMS (n = 47)</th>
<th>DES (n = 71)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malapposition (%)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruptured NA (%)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe underexpansion (%)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary evaginations (%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER disease progression (%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated uncovered struts (%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH with thrombus (%)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge dissection (%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No cause identified (%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2  Representative examples of stent thrombosis underlying mechanisms explored by optical coherence tomography imaging after optimal thrombus resorption: acute stent thrombosis: edge dissection (A); sub-acute stent thrombosis: stent major malapposition (B); late stent thrombosis: isolated uncovered struts (C); very late stent thrombosis: neatherosclerosis lesion (D); ruptured neatherosclerotic lesion (E and F); major stent underexpansion with stent area and reference lumen area measurements (G); coronary evaginations related to underlying positive remodelling (H).
Table 4  Quantitative optical coherence tomography data (data are expressed as median ± interquartile range)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Per underlying mechanism</th>
<th>Stent length</th>
<th>Thrombotic score</th>
<th>No. of struts analysed per lesion</th>
<th>Min. lum. area (mm²)</th>
<th>Mean lum. area (mm²)</th>
<th>Min. IS area (mm²)</th>
<th>Mean IS area (mm²)</th>
<th>Mean NI thickness (µm)</th>
<th>Malapposed struts (%)</th>
<th>Maximal malapposition (µm)</th>
<th>Uncovered struts (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malapposition (n = 39)</td>
<td>20.0 ± 8.5</td>
<td>22.0 ± 14.0</td>
<td>186 ± 111</td>
<td>4.9 ± 2.2</td>
<td>6.53 ± 3.0</td>
<td>5.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>6.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td>178 ± 160</td>
<td>12.7 ± 12.9</td>
<td>710 ± 670</td>
<td>21.2 ± 35.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruptured NA (n = 27)</td>
<td>19.0 ± 9.5</td>
<td>9.0 ± 13.0</td>
<td>148 ± 98</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>4.9 ± 2.7</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>412 ± 348</td>
<td>0 ± 3.8</td>
<td>0 ± 320</td>
<td>1.3 ± 11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe underexpansion (n = 13)</td>
<td>22.0 ± 11.0</td>
<td>13.0 ± 22.0</td>
<td>229 ± 150</td>
<td>2.1 ± 3.1</td>
<td>3.3 ± 2.6</td>
<td>2.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.6</td>
<td>137 ± 138</td>
<td>2.5 ± 9.2</td>
<td>365 ± 940</td>
<td>39.1 ± 53.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary evaginations (n = 10)</td>
<td>23.5 ± 11.0</td>
<td>14.5 ± 21.0</td>
<td>285 ± 83</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>4.6 ± 2.0</td>
<td>6.4 ± 3.5</td>
<td>94 ± 78</td>
<td>7.8 ± 12.6</td>
<td>340 ± 569</td>
<td>49.5 ± 25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER disease progression (n = 10)</td>
<td>18.0 ± 10.0</td>
<td>6.0 ± 47.0</td>
<td>178 ± 109</td>
<td>4.0 ± 3.3</td>
<td>5.0 ± 3.6</td>
<td>5.1 ± 3.2</td>
<td>7.7 ± 3.4</td>
<td>250 ± 250</td>
<td>0 ± 4.5</td>
<td>0 ± 260</td>
<td>13.6 ± 34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated uncovered struts (n = 10)</td>
<td>18.0 ± 8.5</td>
<td>5.5 ± 14.0</td>
<td>190 ± 91</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>5.8 ± 2.6</td>
<td>5.9 ± 4.0</td>
<td>6.6 ± 4.5</td>
<td>123 ± 101</td>
<td>0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0 ± 252</td>
<td>34.5 ± 30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH with thrombus (n = 6)</td>
<td>22.5 ± 15.5</td>
<td>10.0 ± 13.5</td>
<td>185 ± 404</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.9 ± 2.7</td>
<td>6.2 ± 3.1</td>
<td>349 ± 418</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.2 ± 17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge dissection (n = 1)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>50.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No cause identified (n = 4)</td>
<td>20.0 ± 9.5</td>
<td>16 ± 41</td>
<td>225 ± 123</td>
<td>4.3 ± 6.4</td>
<td>5.1 ± 7.1</td>
<td>5.5 ± 5</td>
<td>7.0 ± 4.6</td>
<td>25 ± 64</td>
<td>0.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>85 ± 193</td>
<td>74.9 ± 59.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Per clinical presentation

| A + SAST (n = 23)          | 22.0 ± 7.5   | 25.0 ± 29.5      | 180 ± 142                        | 4.6 ± 2.4            | 5.4 ± 2.3           | 4.2 ± 2.6           | 5.6 ± 3.4           | 40 ± 101                | 6.7 ± 12.2             | 485 ± 517                | 43.4 ± 61.1            |
| LST + VLST (n = 97)        | 20.0 ± 8     | 11.0 ± 19*       | 194 ± 117                        | 3.3 ± 2.9            | 5.6 ± 3.0           | 5.8 ± 2.7**         | 7.1 ± 2.8**         | 231 ± 194*              | 2.9 ± 12.1             | 240 ± 677                | 15.3 ± 33.5*           |

Per stent type

| Overall (n = 71)           | 18.0 ± 7.8   | 13.0 ± 20.8      | 173 ± 112                        | 3.6 ± 3.5            | 5.4 ± 4.1           | 6.1 ± 2.5           | 7.4 ± 2.3           | 266 ± 290               | 0 ± 12.7               | 0 ± 798                  | 6.6 ± 19.0             |
| DES (n = 71)               | 23.0 ± 6.0** | 13.0 ± 24.5      | 222 ± 121**                      | 3.7 ± 2.6            | 5.7 ± 2.4           | 4.9 ± 2.8**         | 6.2 ± 2.8**         | 156 ± 166*              | 4.6 ± 11.6             | 315 ± 665                | 33.0 ± 40.5*           |
| BMS (n = 39)               | 16.0 ± 7.5   | 12.0 ± 16.5      | 173 ± 105                        | 3.3 ± 3.8            | 5.7 ± 4.3           | 6.2 ± 2.6           | 7.7 ± 2.4           | 289 ± 306               | 0 ± 13.4               | 0 ± 868                  | 2.2 ± 15.2             |
| LST + VLST (n = 58)        | 23.0 ± 6.0** | 10.5 ± 23        | 225 ± 110**                      | 3.3 ± 2.5            | 5.6 ± 2.5           | 5.1 ± 3.0           | 6.3 ± 3.1           | 187 ± 137**             | 4.3 ± 10.6             | 330 ± 650                | 28.0 ± 40.8*           |

A + SAST, acute + sub-acute stent thrombosis; BMS, bare metal stent; DES, drug-eluting stent; ER, edge related; IS, intra-stent; L + VLST, late + very late stent thrombosis; Lum., Lumen; Min., minimal; NA, neoatherosclerosis; NI, neointima; NIH, neointimal hyperplasia.

*P < 0.001 by U Mann–Whitney test.

**P < 0.05 by U Mann–Whitney test.
The main findings were: (i) use of OCT identified an underlying morphological abnormality associated with ST in 97% of the cases; (ii) malapposition and neoatherosclerosis rupture were the main causes of LST and VLST and (iii) Malapposition and underexpansion were prominent mechanisms for AST and SAST.

There was no difference between BMS and DES in the distribution of ST types. Optical coherence tomography was of diagnostic quality in 97% of the cases. This high rate is potentially explained by the frequent use of a two-step approach, i.e. initial treatment of the culprit lesion followed by deferred intra-coronary imaging after optimal medical therapy. This strategy reduces the thrombus load that could interfere with struts analysis and allows improved device and lesion visualization, enhancing the diagnostic value of OCT. It may also explain the discrepancies between the frequencies of ST mechanisms we observed and those in previous reports. Although we identified a high incidence of severe stent underexpansion among patients with early ST (AST + SAST), malapposition was the main mechanism in this group, as described in the MOST study. These data might reflect the different mechanisms causing malapposition, depending on the timing of diagnosis by OCT. Malapposition can happen during index PCI as a result of inadequate stent expansion (acute malapposition), or appear subsequently due to vessel remodelling (late-acquired malapposition) with DES. In some cases, malapposition may appear after implantation of a stent in an unstable lesion. The mechanisms for the malapposition may be due to the presence of thrombus confined between struts and vessel wall that dissolves over time, difficulties to achieve correct culprit lesion sizing or necrotic deterged plaque coverage. Recent observations indicate that most of the acute stent malapposition resolve spontaneously over time, yet up to 30% do not (late-persistent malapposition). Interestingly, we observed that the incidence of malapposition was elevated in both A + SAST and L + VLST groups and the percentage of malapposed struts, as well as the average maximal distance between struts and vessel wall, were high. Although the underlying causes of ST in our patients were broadly heterogeneous, the results implicate struts malapposition as a causal substrate for ST onset, irrespective of its origin (acute, late-persistent, or late-acquired). We were not able to distinguish late-persistent from late-acquired malapposition among the L + VLST patients in a single time point OCT analysis, which limits our interpretation of the data. Because of enhanced visualization of deeper tissues, intra-vascular ultrasound (IVUS) may be better for the evaluation of positive remodelling, which is implicated in late-acquired malapposition. Since IVUS was not used in our study, information on vessel remodelling is not available. However, the high percentage of struts malapposition and stent underexpansion we observed in A + SAST patients is a sign of a need for early detection and correction of these pitfalls during initial PCI, potentially by using intra-vascular imaging. Whether OCT-guided PCI could improve outcomes in this situation is currently under investigation in a randomised prospective clinical trial.

In contrast to other reports, we found that ruptured neoatherosclerosis, although common, was not the most frequent cause of VLST. Ruptured neoatherosclerosis was more frequent in BMS than in DES stents. It accounted for 36% of the BMS ST, which is in line with previous reports. Neoatherosclerosis formation is related to endothelial dysfunction and chronic inflammation around stent struts, potentially enhanced by the presence of coating polymers. Hence, DES may be more prone to neoatherosclerosis than BMS and may enhance lesion formation. That we nevertheless observed a higher incidence of ruptured neoatherosclerotic lesions in the BMS group is probably explained by the longer duration between index PCI to ST in these patients compared with the DES group.

Stent thrombosis remains a therapeutic challenge for the clinician and there are no current international guidelines to guide treatment. The lack of consensus reflects a weak evidence base reflecting the lack of randomized controlled trials for what is a rare spontaneous event. The diagnostic challenges, including use (or not) of intra-vascular imaging and the heterogeneity of aetiologies, collectively contribute to our incomplete understanding of the causes and treatment of ST. Establishing an accurate diagnosis for the cause of ST is necessary in order to implement appropriate therapy. In our series, physicians reported that the ST origin could be identified with certainty by angiography in only 12% of cases whereas this percentage rose to 41% with OCT analysis, pointing out the very poor specificity of angiographic tools to assess ST aetiology. Balloon angioplasty and medical treatment alone were provided in 37 and 29% of the cases, respectively. Redo stent implantation was used in 31.1% of the cases, which is lower than in other published works. In a series of 7135 ST analysed by angiography, Armstrong and colleagues reported use of redo stenting in >50% of cases. The lower rate of stenting in patients managed with adjunctive OCT reflects on the added diagnostic value of this technique. For example, alternatives to routine stent implantation include balloon angioplasty alone for severe underexpansion or subsequent stent placement for neoatherosclerosis or edge-related disease progression.

We observed a relatively low rate of adverse events in the PESTO population, which might be explained by a number of factors. First, our cohort includes a high percentage of patients with VLST, a condition that is associated with a better prognosis than early ST. Moreover, some patients presenting with pre-procedural cardiac arrest or cardiogenic shock were not screened or included in our final analysis, which probably decreased the number of adverse events. Furthermore, the high adoption of thrombus aspiration devices and GPIIb–IIIa inhibitors may also be relevant. Whether the reduced need for redo stenting from the OCT analysis contributed to these results cannot be determined in the present analysis and remains to be proven.

Several limitations of this study deserve consideration. First, ST is a multifactorial process that potentially involves stent architecture abnormality and clinical factors, including degree of platelet inhibition under therapy or compliance with treatment. Although we evaluated declared compliance with APT, we do not have data regarding platelet function or genetic testing in our population, since the different tests were not performed routinely in the different centres. Moreover, a substantial number of patients presenting with ST were not screened for inclusion in the study because of an inadequate clinical presentation or impossibility to restore TIMI 3 flow without PCI before OCT images acquisition. Thus, our results might be biased by patient selection and not reflect the true incidence of the different ST mechanisms in the general population (229 ST during this period in the 17 active centres). Moreover, our approach to OCT analysis was based on a core laboratory analysis.
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and consensus between three expert operators. This approach might create some discrepancies in case of multiple underlying abnormalities (such as underexpansion and malapposed struts or evolutions and uncovered struts), yet there are currently no guidelines available to help resolve this issue. Furthermore, most of the OCT data in the present study were acquired during a deferred procedure following the initial deocclusion, according to the operators’ discretion. This fact might explain the low rate of OCT acquisitions that were rejected for inadequate quality by the corelab. However, our data might differ from series in which the intra-coronary imaging analysis was not deferred and thus make generalization of our conclusions more difficult.

Other limitations are the absence of an IVUS assessment at the time of the ST, the lack of thrombus aspirate analysis and the heterogeneity of the types of stent used. Finally, the PESTO registry was designed to analyse the incidences of characteristics of ST in the French population. There was no control group of patients with non-thrombotic stent analysed with OCT that would have allowed the investigators to specifically investigate predictive factors for ST, including the role of uncovered struts.

In conclusion, in this prospective registry in France, most ST events occurred ≥1 year after the initial procedure. Optical coherence tomography identified an underlying morphological abnormality in 97% of cases. Although the underlying mechanisms were various, struts malapposition, rupture neoatherosclerosis, and severe underexpansion were the most frequently observed causes.
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